

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas

October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Westminster Schools TA	POINTS	RANKS
2	Daniel Taylor	29	1
1	Ellis Allen	28.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South AN	POINTS	RANKS
2	Nabeela Ahmed	27.5	4
1	Kathy Nalepka	27.5	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Westminster
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Max Hantel

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

PERM THEORY/DISPO? The CP theory stuff is confusing, but regardless even if I gave the negative the benefit of the doubt and just evaluate elections as is case, its clear the case o/w's because neg has zero case defense and which grants a well explained comparatively better ill to neg, and a water war scenario, etc. the aff ~~also~~ also chips away at the elections scenario - because surprise gives aff edge on w/ debate; small resu of a ci turn; no perception of DGD could be. AFF - read dispo w/ straight turn i don't straight turn unless you got a time constraint out of it that's worthwhile.

amhantel@gmail.com for ?'s

MAX HANTEL

Room: LS 101
Start: 10:00 a.m.
Pod A

2

pearce, adam

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Little Rock Central GP	POINTS	RANKS
2A	Lindsey Garland	27	3
1A	Sarah Parker	27	4

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's MD	POINTS	RANKS
In	Alex Miles	29	1
In	Rishi Das	28	2

The better debating was done by the Aff from St. Mark's
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Ron Pearson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AC: Start slower. Clearer on Cites. Team should have on impact. know ev better for CX.

1NC: hard to follow some things. Do clarify drills. Negative has DA needs impact external to the case.

2AC: don't drop DAs of case DAs. make a theory arg on Status & cross apply 1AC ev

1NC: CX for this was insane

1NC: you have TONS of prep - write a nice overview. do comparative analysis between them (1) ev and your take outs

1AR: don't extend to theory for 30 sec either go for 13 or don't

2AR: Give a real ~~reason~~ reason instead of 'don't count 1AR'

2AR: need to do more than extend case and talk about dropped DAs

RFD: DA turns case and underweights - Aff doesn't have much of an impact

Room: LS 130
Start: 10:00 a.m.
Pod C

2

Rowe, D.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Pine Crest Preparator CM	POINTS	RANKS
1	Tabitha Cohen	27.5	2
2	Matthew Marcus	27.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's GH	POINTS	RANKS
2	Max Grunewald	27	4
1	Kevin Hale	28.5	1

The better debating was done by the AFF from PINE CREST
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: Dan Chase

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg concedes severe link mitigation on DISAD
and several advantages, AFF advantages
o/w Risk of terrorism impact on DISAD.

ORAL

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Coppell KK	POINTS	RANKS
2	Sonali Kalvala	27	4
1	Priyanka Krishnamurthy	27.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee IV	POINTS	RANKS
1	Mustafa Inamullah	28	1
2	Sam Varney	27	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Chattahoochee IV
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: John Hines

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg makes right choice in 2NR by going for Elexus & Fossil fuels C.P. - 2NC did poor job of extending line-sy line on states & Nat Gas Disad which made for easy 1AR coverage. 2NR needs to directly address Aff's Link turn stay on politics though - Neg never responds that plan hurts oil companies who fund McCain's campaign. But, the Turn lacks any (U) since Aff only argues uniqueness overwhelms (L) while I do give substantial weight to the oil Turn, it lacks any (U) - therefore there's still some risk of D/A + NO Argument (until 2AR extension of rearmaster ~~to~~) why C.P?

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore hh	POINTS	RANKS
1	james hanley	29	1
2	will hardwicke	21.5	4

9:24
4:30

NEGATIVE

POS	Kinkaid bb	POINTS	RANKS
1	nikhil bontha	328	20 3
2	robert baldwin	228	20 2

6:24 -N

The better debating was done by the Aff from Oak Park
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Alu Doh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg:

1 vote Aff on the perm to do the plan
+ reject all other instances.

I think the problem here is you spend too much time on the link debate and not enough on the E alternative. You don't have a very credible alt in the 2NR, especially given your concession of the intrinsicness perm. Also, you need to do a better job explaining how the E ~~alt~~ turns the case given the fact that you have so little case defense.

Aff

2NR: needs to be way more organized. You need an overview clearly articulating your reasons for winning: ① Perm ~~Perm~~
② Neg outweighs ③ Alt fails ④ Realism. Don't go for both perms. You need to do a much better job explaining & impacting the arguments you go for. Also, put case on top - you need to ~~need~~ need it to win. Also, articulate the 1AR too weak deficit too strong at with your ~~deficit~~ when they ~~deficit~~
⑤: 2NR, this isn't very persuasive as a solvency ~~deficit~~ clarify the plan. Your time is better spent elsewhere.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Marquette University HM	POINTS	RANKS
1	Michael Hoffmann	28.5	1
2	Jack Mackay	28.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	New Trier Township KL	POINTS	RANKS
2	Teddy Kontopoulos	27.5	3
1	Heather Lawton	27	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Marquette
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Grant Peretz

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

2AC - Double turned yourself on Elections: "Gop gets credit" at "Ethans" unpopular"
2NC - Don't read a link to federalism on the perm if you didn't read the federalism DA
STOP CALLING IT "SUPERMAN" - you either sound stupid or like you're trying to make a 5th-grade-caliber joke.

1AR - You should have said federalism bad - see 2NC notes

2NR - Put your offense (Elections) on top - that will guarantee that you cover it

RFD: 2NR & extend UQ or ⊕ for elections, large risk of a ⊖ deficit to the CP outweighs

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee SD	POINTS	RANKS
1	Shreya Seth	28	2
2	Maggie Davis	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Bronx Science LL	POINTS	RANKS
1	Max Lesser	27.5	34
2	Thomas Lloyd	27.5	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Bronx Science
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: 5/2/08

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Don't read (U) over winner (L)
& McCain will win

Dominance means (can) would
not score us = no strike

Should not of extended
(U) (L) in 1AR
had a dropped (L) turn

There is still a transition
post election

Kick out of elections DA

Better explanation of Coal
DA just go straight down
flow, the uniqueness, link, (L),
& (M) w/calc.

RFD: Vote on dropped DA 100%
risk attached here Don

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Kinkaid sw	POINTS	RANKS
1	schuiler scher	27	4
2	merrick wilson	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's WB	POINTS	RANKS
2	Jacob Wikman	27	1
1	Rishee Batra	27	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from St. Mark's
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

7:00

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A - please don't swear.

Please use your own timers - constantly asking & checking mine is distracting

2A - underviews are generally not an effective use of time - do your impact analysis on each page.

2NR strategy odd - gift (E) + elections? It would be nice if someone talked about how the (E) interact with util.

RFD - 2AR only goes for Bush veto on politics but this doesn't answer this scenario - ~~neg~~ no aff arg about McCain. Neg is probably also ahead on gift (K) - ~~neg~~ aff should read some ev.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Glenbrook North HP	POINTS	RANKS
1	Mitchel Hochberg	28.5	2
2	Zack Parker	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Carrollton School of SP	POINTS	RANKS
1	Nas Schmidt	27.5	3
2	Alyssa Padilla	27.5	4

The better debating was done by the alb from UBS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Rep: aff is (T)

neg does good job
extending own interp -
but don't answer
apps w/n or
counter-interpretation.

neg also needs
to impact their
args on (S) - why
is Bidirectional
Bad, etc...

NEG - to make the CP
MORE VIABLE, TAKE OUT
ASPEC + ALT (E)-SPEC AND
MAKE SURE you get to
CASE to lodge up against
their (S)-deficit to the CP

alb - good job, but IAR should
spend a little more time on
(T) - you don't need to explain
case outweighs on EVERS
flaw, just say it once and
cross-apply it.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's LT	POINTS	RANKS
2	Joe Loftus	3	29
1	Connor Theilmann	4	27

NEGATIVE

POS	Greenhill PP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Kush Patel	28	1
1	Chris Patterson	28	2

The better debating was done by the

AFF or NEG

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Meq - be careful when you are spending so much that you miss details in the perm! 1st Meq - work on clarity. 1st R - Work on time allotment. Spent way too much time on case. I knew you had to win case, but maybe work on efficiency. There are a lot of drops in this round by aff, but absent that, neg probably makes the right extensions but needs to work on why the arguments outweigh. I think aff could have won this debate if you had made more of neg's drops. The perm on the c/p and a little better job on c/p theory. Something else - aff lets neg get away with determining framework. But neg, who cares if we are immoral? What does that mean?

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore my	POINTS	RANKS
	maranna	28.5	4
	yoder	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South CH	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jonathan Choi	28.5	3
2	Phillip Han	29	2

The better debating was done by the Aff from Oak Park
AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: M. Beith

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Both sides advocate the moral dilemma.
- Aff provides predictive aspect of election - Obama loses leads to probability of impacts.
- I vote on oppression in SQ vs definite maybe of Russian oppression / genoc.
- Util root cause of repressive regimes - clinched here.

Room: LS 102
Start: 10:00 a.m.
Pod A

2

Jennings, Andrew

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	College Prep PY	POINTS	RANKS
1	Carlos Penikis	28.5	1
2	Tatsuro Yamamura	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Caddo Magnet ST	POINTS	RANKS
2	ZhenZhen Shi	27.5	3
1	Taylor Templeton	27	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from College Prep
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Chen fm

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: LS 102
Start: 10:00 a.m.
Pod A

2

Blumenthal, J.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	College Prep PY	POINTS	RANKS
1	Carlos Penikis	28	2
2	Tatsuro Yamamura	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Caddo Magnet ST	POINTS	RANKS
2	ZhenZhen Shi	27.5	3
1	Taylor Templeton	27	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from CPS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

A/N
7:10 4:15
4:02 4:12
3:30 3:22
3:18

RFD: PERM (5)

MS = talk about perm 168
P/W = 168

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Westminster Schools TA	POINTS	RANKS
2	Daniel Taylor	29	1
1	Ellis Allen	28.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South AN	POINTS	RANKS
2	Nabeela Ahmed	27.5	3
1	Kathy Nalepka	27.5	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from WESTMINSTER TA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

A N
7:55 3:00
6:20

NEG IS STUCK W/ CP (IN BOTH DEF'S OF DISAO) CP L1 TO PK.
ALSO, AFF WINS @'S ARE GREATER THAN NEGS + 4 TURNS
ON PK.

EMAIL: SMARSS7@WPU.EDU

Room: LS 116
Start: 10:00 a.m.
Pod A

2

Becker, L.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore my	POINTS	RANKS
1	maranna	28.5	14
2	yoder	28.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South CH	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jonathan Choi	29	2
2	Phillip Han	29	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from GBS CH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

2FD: CP @ Case

Federalism (!) is the oppression, same issue as the case, ~~is~~

Ma

ORAL

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Kinkaid sw	POINTS	RANKS
1	schuiler scher	27 27	4
2	merrick wilson	27.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's WB	POINTS	RANKS
2	Jacob Wikman	28	1
1	Rishee Batra	28	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from St. Mark's WB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The aff did a good job taking out the link to the (K) by explaining how they are not a gift, they are just equalizing so alt. energy.

I vote neg on politics. The only arg in the ZAR is Bush would veto but they don't answer the neg's args that 1) Fiat means no veto and 2) If it is vetoed there's no solvency. They need to talk in the ZAR about how Dems would override. The ZAR ~~at~~ also could have won on the dropped alt. energy arguments.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Marquette University HM	POINTS	RANKS
1	Michael Hoffmann	28.5	3
2	Jack Mackay	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS	New Trier Township KL	POINTS	RANKS
2	Teddy Kontopoulos	28.5	2
1	Heather Lawton	28	4

430
535-
50

The better debating was done by the Aff from Marquette HM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff- 1. Double T/ in ZAC - Actor Rep got credit, ethanol bad, = Double T/.
2. Need more impact analysis early - IAR, etc.

Neg - 1. Need to figure out what the theory arg is early. Mishandle. hands.
2. Need to ext (C) in ZNR

RF1) 1. RSO show theory
2. Case over (S) credit ZCP

6:35
2:20

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Pine Crest Preparator CM	POINTS	RANKS
1	Tabitha Cohen	27.5	3
2	Matthew Marcus	27.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's GH	POINTS	RANKS
2	Max Grunewald	27.5	2
1	Kevin Hale	27.5	4

The better debating was done by the NEG from ST MARK'S
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

IAC - Your IAC could be organized better. Read an external impact to US-Canada relations besides grid coop, which may be an act causality if Canada needs to do something beyond plan to secure grid.
ZAC - Save more time for LMGPA.
ZAR - Story on how grid still uses nat gas w/ plan now 2 ZAC.
Close rounds.
REF: Neg case defense ~~bad~~ BAD EVIDENCE AND AFF'S BIRD EXTINCTION TURN EVEN WORSE (Takes about LMG production 2u GRANADO ISLANDS, NOT PATA). AFF wins significant risk OF CASE BUT NOT GREAT TECHNICAL IMPACT AN ANALYSIS BY ZAR + DISCUSSION OF NUCLEAR SHADOWS/POLLUTION SPARSE + NOT 2U/LAS NEG wins significant risk OF LINK TO DAT HAS BETTER ANALYSIS ON TIMEFRAME OF IMPACT TO JAPAN DA + LINK PRESSES ARE ONLY ~~so-so~~ SO-SO. FASTER TF TO TERROR/WAR ON TA.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Glenbrook North HP	POINTS	RANKS
1	Mitchel Hochberg	29	2
2	Zack Parker	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Carrollton School of SP	POINTS	RANKS
1	Nas Schmidt	28.5	3
2	Alyssa Padilla	28	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from GBN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff was they meet the interpretation - ~~whether~~ Their Arg is that "companies get the choice based on monetary incentives" - The 2NR was good in that the Neg definitively wins their interp is ~~was~~ better for debate. 1NR is very technical and distinctions on standards extended throughout the debate. This ~~was~~ is how I like a ① debate to be. Neg never responds to the specific Argument for the we meet and just keeps ~~repet~~ repeating their interpretation. Aff also wins warranted reason to not ① w/o potential abuse (None identified by Neg) ~~and that responsibility is on~~. Aff also identifies that Neg ground loss Args & apply in the world of their we meet. Any risk of not meeting ~~this~~ is based on ^{a risk of overlimiting} ~~an~~ ~~argument~~ ~~defense~~ which Aff has ^{humanal} Intense Against (based on... exclude. Core of topic Aff's) But

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's LT	POINTS	RANKS
2	Joe Loftus	27	4
1	Connor Theilmann	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Greenhill PP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Kush Patel	27.5	1
1	Chris Patterson	27.5	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from GREEN HILL PP
AFF of NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

THIS MIDDLE DEFENSE DA IS VERY NON UNIQUE AND THERE IS TENSION WITH THE SOUTH KOREA DA.

2AC DROPS 2 DAs, EVEN THOUGH THEY ARE NOT GOOD YOU NEED TO WATCH OUT FOR THAT.

2NC IS ALL OVER THE PLACE, YOU NEED A MORE COHERENT PLAN.

1AR IS REALLY SLOPPY, YOU NEED TO WORK ON YOUR TECH SKILLS AND STRATEGIC CHOICES

2NR ARGUMENT CHOICE IS QUESTIONABLE.

REFD:
NOT ENOUGH IS DONE ON
UTOPIAN FIAT AND
CONDO BAD FOR AE TO DUL
THE TRIGGER, THE 1AR DOES
NOT DO ENOUGH ON THE K DEBATE, REVERTING,
THE NEG COVERAGE ON THE K IS SPOTTY. IN
THE

A
4.23
3.11

9.50
9.41
5.41

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Little Rock Central GP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Lindsey Garland	28.5	2
1	Sarah Parker	28.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's MD	POINTS	RANKS
2	Alex Miles	29	1
1	Rishi Das	28	4

The better debating was done by the NEG from St. Marks
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Dana Randall

A	N
4:35	9:50
2:00	7:25
	8:13

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

2AC Blocks
marking cards/selective highlight

AIR QUOTES
entire (100%) of 1AR new

if vote aff US / Qatar relations will ↓ these relations
are k/t the US winning WOT

this is the most important impact in this debate
b/c it is the most probable + fastest ext mpX

the 1NR + 2NR q/us read cv to prove these 2 mpX calc
claims and the Aff drops this in each speech

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

~~9:15~~ 5:45

4:25

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee SD	POINTS	RANKS
1	Shreya Seth	27	4
2	Maggie Davis	28	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Bronx Science LL	POINTS	RANKS
1	Max Lesser	30	2
2	Thomas Lloyd	30	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Bronx Science.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Christine Halbert

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Work on time allocation, as well as line by line analysis. If you choose to skip around on the flow, make sure to signpost. Perceptually, you both are doing a good job of contextualizing how all the flows are interacting with each other. Aff- work on making more direct responses to the Neg's line by line. You tend to just extend your arguments through ink instead of giving comparative analysis. You both should also work on giving comparative impact calcs on each flow. If you want to go for abuse, only go for abuse in the 2AR.

PFD: Neg wins the coal disad, which outweighs the case. There are no impacts on the case to outweigh the disad. Kagan is not extrapolated enough to outweigh the weight of the disad. There's too much new articulation in the 2AR to give sufficient weight to the args.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore hh	POINTS	RANKS
1	james hanley	28	3
2	will hardwicke	28	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Kinkaid bb	POINTS	RANKS
1	nikhil bontha	28	2
2	robert baldwin	29	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Kinkaid
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Julia M Coursey

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

OPRE Kinkaid
~~4:30~~ 6:19
4:30

Aff concedes ethical considerations come prior ~~to~~ so I can assume that the IPere is the root of the wars ~~outlined~~ outlined in Kagan. The perm solves a lot but there isn't really a net benefit to ~~the~~ doing the aff + a risk that you're complicit with capitalism or whatever. ~~the aff is~~

2AC - needs FW on the ~~flow~~ flow and coverage on the Taiwan advantage

2NC - second perm is intrinsic otherwise it works pretty well.

1NR - spent a bit too long on case especially since China wasn't covered by the 2AC

1AR - seems a little spread thin. ~~the~~ ~~US~~ ~~sg~~ ~~the~~ have sort of weakly ~~the~~ ~~allocat~~

2NR - Bana. More link + perm work, less on case.

2AR - Try to get stuff together more before the speech + stress you - second permutation

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Coppell KK	POINTS	RANKS
2	Sonali Kalvala	27.5	4
1	Priyanka Krishnamurthy	27.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee IV	POINTS	RANKS
1	Mustafa Inamullah	27.5	2
2	Sam Varney	27.5	1

The better debating was done by the

AFF or NEG

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Case not much solvency,
JBC at the DA

2NR: read the text of the CP

2NR: extend that Obama solves the case

neg: solvency for the CP is really not good

1. ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩ ⑪ ⑫ ⑬ ⑭ ⑮ ⑯ ⑰ ⑱ ⑲ ⑳ ㉑ ㉒ ㉓ ㉔ ㉕ ㉖ ㉗ ㉘ ㉙ ㉚ ㉛ ㉜ ㉝ ㉞ ㉟ ㊱ ㊲ ㊳ ㊴ ㊵ ㊶ ㊷ ㊸ ㊹ ㊺ ㊻ ㊼ ㊽ ㊾ ㊿
2. perm DA cuts
3. DA cuts CP